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Summary

This document describes the test plan for the 'wave overtopping at dikes' kernel. It also contains some recommendation for further improvements on the test procedure.

Samenvatting

Dit document beschrijft het testplan voor rekenkern “golfoverslag bij dijken”. Het bevat ook enkele aanbevelingen voor verbeteringen van de testprocedure.
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# Introduction

## About this document

This document describes the test plan for the 'wave overtopping at dikes' kernel. It is a part of the software documentation set on this kernel.

Originally this document was written in 2012 by B. Kuijper, M.T. Duits and R.G. Kamp, all from HKV consultants. In fact, that document included the description of both the test plan and the test results. Later the kernel structure was adjusted in order to better fit into the probabilistic program Hydra-Ring and some small adjustments to the functionalities were implemented. Due to a small change in definition of the model parameters all quantitative results slightly changed. Moreover, some of the test cases were adapted. Therefore, the report needed to be updated. This update was combined with a split up into two separate documents, one for the test plan and the other for the test results. These documents were composed by J.P. de Waal from Deltares.

It was outside the scope of the project activities in 2015 to reconsider and - if estimated to be useful - adapt the test procedure and test cases for this kernel. However, while composing the update of these documents on the testing, several shortcomings were noticed. It was then decided to leave the test procedure unchanged, but to include the most important findings on the present test procedure in a separate chapter 'Discussion'.

In 2017 many of the recommendations from this discussion were implemented in the test procedure and test plan document, leading to the present version of the test plan.

## Brief overview of tests and outline of the report

The computational procedure for wave overtopping at dikes can be rather complex, largely depending on the complexity of the dike features (transitions in slope and roughness). The procedure in general includes many transitions ('if' statements) and in many cases iterations and/or interpolations are required. It is therefore hard to cover all computational possibilities in a test procedure. The present procedure aims at covering many of them, including the more likely possibilities.

The test procedure consists of the following clusters of tests:

* General tests: Basic I/O tests including tests on input validation and error message delivery
* Uniform slope tests: Tests focussing on the quantitative output for a simple structure: a uniform slope
* 'Omkeervariant' tests: Tests focussing on the inverse of the basic computation
* Java/FEWS interface tests: Basic I/O tests for the data structure to be applied in case of calling the dll from a Java environment (like FEWS)
* Trend tests: Test series with varying load and structure parameters, using many small steps
* Unit tests: Tests on specific low level kernel functions

The test procedure also includes tests pertaining to encountered issues, but these issue tests are not further discussed in this plan.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Test category | described in |
| General tests | section 4.1 |
| Uniform slope tests | chapter 2 |
| 'Omkeervariant' tests | section 4.2 |
| Java/FEWS interface tests | section 4.3 |
| Trend tests | chapter 3 |
| Unit tests | section 4.4 |

Table 1.1 Description of the test categories within this document.

A discussion and recommendations for future improvements on the test procedure are given in chapter 5.

# Basic tests for a uniform slope

## Test concept

As pointed out in section 1.2, the complexity of the computational procedure largely depends on the complexity of the dike features input (transitions in slope and roughness). For a simple structure like a uniform slope, the computational procedure is fairly straightforward (no iterations), and results can be compared with manually computated results.

This test procedure focusses on:

* a uniform slope: no berm(s), no other changes in slope, no changes in roughness
* onshore wave direction
* relatively deep water at the toe of the structure

The test procedure contains 18 tests, 9 for a mild slope (breaking waves, see Table 2.1) and 9 for a steep slope (non-breaking waves, see Table 2.2). Within each subset of 9 tests a selected input parameter is varied (highlighted in the tables below).



Table 2.1 Test cases 1-9 and expected results for a uniform slope 1:4.



Table 2.2 Test cases 10-18 and expected results for a uniform slope 1:2.

In section 2.2 the computational procedure (set of formulas) for these cases is elaborated. Section 2.3 describes the 'manual computation' for case 1.

## Formulas and constants

### General

This section uses the equations and notation from the Functional Design. The equations have their own numbering, but the functions that were copied from the Functional Design also show the equation number in the Functional Design, notated like "[FDEqn #.#]".

Constant(s)

 m/s2

 [FDEqn 5.6]

 [FDEqn 5.7]

 [FDEqn 5.8]



Constants within this test set for uniform slopes





 m3/m/s

The intersection point for breaking and non-breaking waves:

 [FDEqn 5.10]

 [FDEqn 5.9]





Solving ξ0t yields:



The breaker parameter

 [FDEqn 5.1]

Substituting

 [FDEqn 6.3]

and

 [FDEqn 6.2]

yields



The influence factor for roughness

Adjust the influence factor for roughness for large breaker parameter values.

 [FDEqn 5.20]

Substituting constants yields



Effect of oblique wave attack

In this test series



Therefore, the following functions apply:

For wave runup

 [FDEqn 5.12]



For wave overtopping discharge

 [FDEqn 5.13]



### Wave runup

For small breaker parameter calculate the 2% wave run-up for breaking waves, otherwise calculate 2% wave run-up for non-breaking waves:

 [FDEqn 5.2]



### Wave overtopping discharge

The dimensionless overtopping discharge for breaking waves:

 [FDEqn 5.41]



The dimensionless overtopping discharge for non-breaking waves:

 [FDEqn 5.42]



The overtopping discharge:

 [FDEqn 5.45]

For all present test cases



Therefore:



### Limit state function

 [FDEqn 5.51]

In these tests this simplifies to:



## Manual computation example: case 1

### Input

Model parameters:

 = 1.0 -

 = 1.0 -

 = 4.75 -

 = 2.60 -

Structure:

 = 0.25 -

 = 5.0 m

 = 1.0 -

Hydraulic load:

 = 2.0 m

 = 1.0 m

 s = 4.0 s

 = 0.0 °

In fact the slope parameter tanα is not an input parameter. The actually applied input leading to tanα = 0.25 is:

 = [ 0.0 40.0] m

 = [-5.0 5.0] m

And for tanα = 0.50:

 = [ 0.0 20.0] m

 = [-5.0 5.0] m

In fact also the wave angle β is not an input parameter. The actually applied input leading to β = 0.0 ° is:

 = 0.0 °N

 = 0.0 °N

And for β = 45.0 °:

 = 0.0 °N

 = 45.0 °N

### Computations and output

Profile slope:



Breaker parameter:



Wave runup:



 m

Wave overtopping discharge:



Non dimensional wave overtopping discharge for breaking waves:





Non dimensional wave overtopping discharge for non-breaking waves:



Overtopping discharge:

 m3/m/s

Limit state function:



# Tests on trends in results

## Test concept

Especially for the application of the kernel within a probabilistic environment, the continuity and correctness of the trends in computational results are important.

The tests on trends consist of several test series in which certain input parameters, for example the wave height or segment slope, are varied to determine their effect on the main output, namely: the 2% wave run-up height and the mean wave overtopping discharge.

Tests are carried out for different types of cross sections of flood defences, with increasing complexity, beginning with a cross section with only one segment, then a cross section with two segments, and so on, up to a cross section with five segments, consisting of both slope segments and berms.

For each cross section a number of test series is constructed, characterized by (respectively):

* varying load (i.e. water level and wave parameters);
* varying geometry of the structure;
* varying roughness of the different structure segments.

Expected results

General:

* The trends should not show any discontinuities, like jumps or missing data. (Buckling points, i.e. discontinuities in first derivative, may be expected though).

The expected results for the varying load test series are as follows:

* If the water level increases the run-up level and the overtopping discharge increase.
* If the wave height increases the run-up level and the overtopping discharge increase.
* If the wave steepness increases the run-up level and the overtopping discharge decrease.
* If the wave direction increases the run-up level and the overtopping discharge decrease.

The expected results for the cross section specific test series are as follows:

* If the slope decreases the run-up level and the overtopping discharge decrease.
* If the length of a berm increases the run-up level and the overtopping discharge decrease.

The expected results for the roughness specific test series are as follows:

* If the roughness coefficient decreases the run-up level and the overtopping discharge decrease.

A test result is regarded 'OK' if computed results - written in ASCII output files - are (within a very small margin) equal to computational results from an earlier version of the kernel. These reference results are considered correct, based on the following analysis:

* By visual inspection of output graphs it is verified if trends in the results from test series agree with the expected trends.
* Occasional disagreement between observed and expected trends can be explained on the basis of the specific conditions and the original formulas and/or intermediate computational results.

In fact, however, there are still some tests in which the reference results do not fully agree with the expectations, as will be described in the Test Report. These disagreements (still) require additional analysis. It is quite well possible that disagreements result from the design of the computational procedure. In that case, the Functional Design should be adapted. This uncertainty on the source of remaining disagreements is a weak point of this test cluster.

## Basic test series of varying load conditions

### Series of varying load conditions for each cross section

The overtopping module has four input parameters describing the load conditions: water level, significant spectral wave height, wave period (spectral wave period) and the wave direction. In practice the wave height and the wave period are correlated. For instance: a large wave height in combination with a short wave period is not very likely. This combination gives steep waves. The steepness of a wave can be computed by



with

so the wave steepness (-)

Hm0 the wave height (m)

Tm-1,0 the spectral wave period (s)

g the gravity constant (m/s2).

In practice, the value of the wave steepness is limited. To prevent computations with non-necessary combinations of wave heights and wave periods test series will have input that consists of water levels, wave heights, wave steepnesses and wave angles. The basic values are presented in Table 2 1. For the wave direction two values are chosen. One value is not enough because of the additional reductions for wave directions larger than 80 degrees. These also have to be tested.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Input value | Basic value |
| Water level (m+NAP) | 3.0 |
| Wave height (m) | 2.0 |
| Wave steepness (-) | 0.04 |
| Wave angle (°) | 0.0 85.0 |

Table 3.1 Overview of basic values for test series

Rewriting formula can help to compute the spectral wave period from the wave height and the wave steepness:



Using the basic values from Table 3.1 the spectral wave period in the basic situation - with use of formula - is equal to 5.66 sec.

Starting with the basic values from Table 3.1 seven test series are composed in which each time only one value is varied. The seven test series are presented in Table 3.2. These are the basic test series for each cross section.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Test series | Water level (m+NAP) | Wave height (m) | Wave steepness (-) | Wave angle (º) |
| 1 | -2 [0.01] 6 | 2 | 0.04 | 0 |
| 2 | -2 [0.01] 6 | 2 | 0.04 | 85 |
| 3 | 3 | 0 [0.01] 5 | 0.04 | 0 |
| 4 | 3 | 0 [0.01] 5 | 0.04 | 85 |
| 5 | 3 | 2 | 0.001 [0.001] 0.07 | 0 |
| 6 | 3 | 2 | 0.001 [0.001] 0.07 | 85 |
| 7 | 3 | 2 | 0.04 | 0 [1] 180 |

Table 3.2 Basic test series for each cross section

In section 3.3 additional tests are presented for each cross section, in which the slope and roughness of the different segments is varied. These cross section specific test series are combined with the water level, wave height and wave steepness from Table 3.1.

### Output in general

Two output parameters are examined: the wave run-up (z2%) and the overtopping discharge. The output is presented by figures with the varied parameter on the x-axis. An example is presented below for the slope of the cross section. Notice that the wave run-up in the figures is the level of the 2% wave run-up, that is: the local water level plus the wave run-up in meters. The latter is the actual output of the overtopping module.



Figure 3.1 Example for the output of the test series

## Basic cross sections and series of varying characteristics

### Cross section nr 1



Figure 3.2 Cross section nr 1, basic geometry

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| point nr  - | x  (m) | y  (m+NAP) | segment nr  - | slope  - | roughness  - |
| 1 | 0 | -2 | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 2 | 8 | 6 |  |  |  |

Table 3.3 Cross section nr 1, basic geometry

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| series nr | Parameter to vary in the test series | Variation | Wave angle (º) |
| 8 | slope (-) | 1 [0.1] 8 | 0 |
| 9 | slope (-) | 1 [0.1] 8 | 85 |
| 10 | roughness (-) | 0.5 [0.01] 1.0 | 0 |
| 11 | roughness (-) | 0.5 [0.01] 1.0 | 85 |

Table 3.4 Cross section nr 1, additional test series on variation in geometry

### Cross section nr 2



Figure 3.3 Cross section nr 2, basic geometry.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| point nr  - | x  (m) | y  (m+NAP) | segment nr  - | slope  - | roughness  - |
| 1 | 0 | -2 | 1 | 8.0 | 1.0 |
| 2 | 48 | 4 | 2 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 3 | 50 | 6 |  |  |  |

Table 3.5 Cross section nr 2, basic geometry.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| series nr | Parameter to vary in the test series | Variation | Wave angle (º) |
| 8 | slope lower segment (-) | 1 [0.1] 8 | 0 |
| 9 | slope lower segment (-) | 1 [0.1] 8 | 85 |
| 10 | slope upper segment (-) | 1 [0.1] 8 | 0 |
| 11 | slope upper segment (-) | 1 [0.1] 8 | 85 |
| 12 | buckling point (m+NAP) | -1.75 [0.125] 4.00 | 0 |
| 13 | buckling point (m+NAP) | -1.75 [0.125] 4.00 | 85 |
| 14 | roughness both segments (-) | 0.5 [0.01] 1.0 | 0 |
| 15 | roughness both segments (-) | 0.5 [0.01] 1.0 | 85 |
| 16 | roughness lower segment (-) | 0.5 [0.01] 1.0 | 0 |
| 17 | roughness lower segment (-) | 0.5 [0.01] 1.0 | 85 |
| 18 | roughness upper segment (-) | 0.5 [0.01] 1.0 | 0 |
| 19 | roughness upper segment (-) | 0.5 [0.01] 1.0 | 85 |

Table 3.6 Cross section nr 2, additional test series on variation in geometry.

### Cross section nr 3



Figure 3.4 Cross section nr 3, basic geometry.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| point nr  - | x  (m) | y  (m+NAP) | segment nr  - | slope  - | roughness  - |
| 1 | 0 | -2 | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 2 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 8.0 | 1.0 |
| 3 | 22 | 6 |  |  |  |

Table 3.7 Cross section nr 3, basic geometry.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| series nr | Parameter to vary in the test series | Variation | Wave angle (º) |
| 8 | slope lower segment (-) | 1 [0.1] 8 | 0 |
| 9 | slope lower segment (-) | 1 [0.1] 8 | 85 |
| 10 | slope upper segment (-) | 1 [0.1] 8 | 0 |
| 11 | slope upper segment (-) | 1 [0.1] 8 | 85 |
| 12 | buckling point (m+NAP) | 0 [0.1] 4 | 0 |
| 13 | buckling point (m+NAP) | 0 [0.1] 4 | 85 |
| 14 | roughness both segments (-) | 0.5 [0.01] 1.0 | 0 |
| 15 | roughness both segments (-) | 0.5 [0.01] 1.0 | 85 |
| 16 | roughness lower segment (-) | 0.5 [0.01] 1.0 | 0 |
| 17 | roughness lower segment (-) | 0.5 [0.01] 1.0 | 85 |
| 18 | roughness upper segment (-) | 0.5 [0.01] 1.0 | 0 |
| 19 | roughness upper segment (-) | 0.5 [0.01] 1.0 | 85 |

Table 3.8 Cross section nr 3, additional test series on variation in geometry.

### Cross section nr 4



Figure 3.5 Cross section nr 4, basic geometry.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| point nr  - | x  (m) | y  (m+NAP) | segment nr  - | slope  - | roughness  - |
| 1 | 0 | -2 | 1 | 4.0 | 1.0 |
| 2 | 24 | 4 | 2 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| 3 | 28 | 4 | 3 | 3.0 | 1.0 |
| 4 | 34 | 6 |  |  |  |

Table 3.9 Cross section nr 4, basic geometry.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| series nr | Parameter to vary in the test series | Variation | Wave angle (º) |
| 8berm | slope berm segment (-) | 15 [0.5] 400 | 0 |
| 9berm | slope berm segment (-) | 15 [0.5] 400 | 85 |
| 8 | slope berm segment (-) | 1 [0.1] 8 | 0 |
| 9 | slope berm segment (-) | 1 [0.1] 8 | 85 |
| 10 | slope other segments (-) | 1 [0.1] 8 | 0 |
| 11 | slope other segments (-) | 1 [0.1] 8 | 85 |
| 12 | length berm segment (m) | 2 [1] 20 | 0 |
| 13 | length berm segment (m) | 2 [1] 20 | 85 |
| 14 | roughness berm segment (-) | 0.5 [0.01] 1.0 | 0 |
| 15 | roughness berm segment (-) | 0.5 [0.01] 1.0 | 85 |
| 16 | roughness ordinary segments (-) | 0.5 [0.01] 1.0 | 0 |
| 17 | roughness ordinary segments (-) | 0.5 [0.01] 1.0 | 85 |

Table 3.10 Cross section nr 4, additional test series on variation in geometry.

### Cross section nr 5



Figure 3.6 Cross section nr 5, basic geometry.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| point nr  - | x  (m) | y  (m+NAP) | segment nr  - | slope  - | roughness  - |
| 1 | 0 | -2 | 1 | 4.0 | 1.0 |
| 2 | 23 | 3.75 | 2 | 16.0 | 1.0 |
| 3 | 27 | 4 | 3 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| 4 | 31 | 4 | 4 | 3.0 | 1.0 |
| 5 | 37 | 6 |  |  |  |

Table 3.11 Cross section nr 5, basic geometry.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| series nr | Parameter to vary in the test series | Variation | Wave angle (º) |
| 8berm | slope segment 2 (-) | 15 [0.5] 400 | 0 |
| 9berm | slope segment 2 (-) | 15 [0.5] 400 | 85 |
| 8 | slope segment 2 (-) | 1 [0.1] 8 | 0 |
| 9 | slope segment 2 (-) | 1 [0.1] 8 | 85 |
| 10 | slope segment 1 and 4 (-) | 1 [0.1] 8 | 0 |
| 11 | slope segment 1 and 4 (-) | 1 [0.1] 8 | 85 |
| 12 | roughness segment 2 (-) | 0.5 [0.01] 1.0 | 0 |
| 13 | roughness segment 2 (-) | 0.5 [0.01] 1.0 | 85 |
| 14 | roughness segment 3 (-) | 0.5 [0.01] 1.0 | 0 |
| 15 | roughness segment 3 (-) | 0.5 [0.01] 1.0 | 85 |
| 16 | roughness segment 1 and 3 (-) | 0.5 [0.01] 1.0 | 0 |
| 17 | roughness segment 1 and 3 (-) | 0.5 [0.01] 1.0 | 85 |
| 18 | roughness segment 2 and 4 (-) | 0.5 [0.01] 1.0 | 0 |
| 19 | roughness segment 2 and 4 (-) | 0.5 [0.01] 1.0 | 85 |

Table 3.12 Cross section nr 5, additional test series on variation in geometry.

### Cross section nr 6



Figure 3.7 Cross section nr 6, basic geometry.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| point nr  - | x  (m) | y  (m+NAP) | segment nr  - | slope  - | roughness  - |
| 1 | 0 | -2 | 1 | 4.0 | 1.0 |
| 2 | 15 | 1.75 | 2 | 16.0 | 1.0 |
| 3 | 19 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1.0 |
| 4 | 23 | 2 | 4 | 3.0 | 1.0 |
| 5 | 35 | 6 |  |  |  |

Table 3.13 Cross section nr 6, basic geometry.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| series nr | Parameter to vary in the test series | Variation | Wave angle (º) |
| 8berm | slope segment 3 (-) | 15 [0.5] 400 | 0 |
| 9berm | slope segment 3 (-) | 15 [0.5] 400 | 85 |
| 8 | slope segment 3 (-) | 1 [0.1] 8 | 0 |
| 9 | slope segment 3 (-) | 1 [0.1] 8 | 85 |
| 10 | slope segment 1 and 4 (-) | 1 [0.1] 8 | 0 |
| 11 | slope segment 1 and 4 (-) | 1 [0.1] 8 | 85 |
| 12 | roughness segment 2 (-) | 0.5 [0.01] 1.0 | 0 |
| 13 | roughness segment 2 (-) | 0.5 [0.01] 1.0 | 85 |
| 14 | roughness segment 3 (-) | 0.5 [0.01] 1.0 | 0 |
| 15 | roughness segment 3 (-) | 0.5 [0.01] 1.0 | 85 |
| 16 | roughness segment 1 and 3 (-) | 0.5 [0.01] 1.0 | 0 |
| 17 | roughness segment 1 and 3 (-) | 0.5 [0.01] 1.0 | 85 |
| 18 | roughness segment 2 and 4 (-) | 0.5 [0.01] 1.0 | 0 |
| 19 | roughness segment 2 and 4 (-) | 0.5 [0.01] 1.0 | 85 |

Table 3.14 Cross section nr 6, additional test series on variation in geometry.

### Cross section nr 7



Figure 3.8 Cross section nr 7, basic geometry.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| point nr  - | x  (m) | y  (m+NAP) | segment nr  - | slope  - | roughness  - |
| 1 | 0 | -2 | 1 | 4.0 | 1.0 |
| 2 | 16 | 2 | 2 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| 3 | 20 | 2 | 3 | 3.0 | 1.0 |
| 4 | 26 | 4 | 4 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| 5 | 30 | 4 | 5 | 3.0 | 1.0 |
| 6 | 36 | 6 |  |  |  |

Table 3.15 Cross section nr 7, basic geometry.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| series nr | Parameter to vary in the test series | Variation | Wave angle (º) |
| 8 | slope segment 1, 3 and 5 | 1 [0.1] 8 | 0 |
| 9 | slope segment 1, 3 and 5 | 1 [0.1] 8 | 85 |
| 10 | roughness segment 2 and 4 (-) | 0.5 [0.01] 1.0 | 0 |
| 11 | roughness segment 2 and 4 (-) | 0.5 [0.01] 1.0 | 85 |
| 12 | roughness segment 1, 3 and 5 (-) | 0.5 [0.01] 1.0 | 0 |
| 13 | roughness segment 1, 3 and 5 (-) | 0.5 [0.01] 1.0 | 85 |

Table 3.16 Cross section nr 7, additional test series on variation in geometry.

### Cross section nr 8



Figure 3.9 Cross section nr 8, basic geometry.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| point nr  - | x  (m) | y  (m+NAP) | segment nr  - | slope  - | roughness  - |
| 1 | 0 | -2 | 1 | 4.0 | 1.0 |
| 2 | 14 | 1.5 | 2 | 16.0 | 1.0 |
| 3 | 18 | 1.75 | 3 | 3.0 | 1.0 |
| 4 | 24 | 3.75 | 4 | 16.0 | 1.0 |
| 5 | 28 | 4 | 5 | 3.0 | 1.0 |
| 6 | 34 | 6 |  |  |  |

Table 3.17 Cross section nr 8, basic geometry.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| series nr | Parameter to vary in the test series | Variation | Wave angle (º) |
| 8 | slope segment 1, 3 and 5 | 1 [0.1] 8 | 0 |
| 9 | slope segment 1, 3 and 5 | 1 [0.1] 8 | 85 |
| 10 | roughness segment 2 and 4 (-) | 0.5 [0.01] 1.0 | 0 |
| 11 | roughness segment 2 and 4 (-) | 0.5 [0.01] 1.0 | 85 |
| 12 | roughness segment 1, 3 and 5 (-) | 0.5 [0.01] 1.0 | 0 |
| 13 | roughness segment 1, 3 and 5 (-) | 0.5 [0.01] 1.0 | 85 |

Table 3.18 Cross section nr 8, additional test series on variation in geometry.

# Other tests

## General tests

The general test cluster consists of basic I/O tests including tests on input validation and error message delivery. It contains the following tests:

* General; Test functions versionNumber, calculateQoF, calcZValue in the dll
  + test call versionNumber
  + test actual computations in calculateQo for waterlevel < dikeheight
  + test actual computations in calculateQo for waterlevel > dikeheight (overflow)
  + test actual computations in calculateQo for waterlevel < dikeheight, without waves
* General; Test a dikeheight at one of the profile points
* General; Test influence roughness
* General; Test validation of incorrect profile and negative model factor
  + test validation of input (geometry not correct)
  + test validation of input (modelfactor m\_z2 < 0)
  + test validation of input (modelfactor foreshore < 0.3)
* General; Test validation of invalid roughness
* General; Test validation of incorrect profile and negative model factor in one call
* General; Test message of incorrect profile (z-value)
* General; Test h+z2 > dikeheight
* General; Test error handling in case of NaN in load
* General; Test whether the profile is adapted correctly
* General; ISSUE; Test A for calculateGammaF related to issue 44
* General; ISSUE; Test B for calculateGammaF related to issue 44
* General; ISSUE; Test for issue 45

## Omkeervariant tests

The 'omkeervariant' test cluster focuses on the inverse of the basic computation. It contains the following tests:

* OmkeerVariant; inverse of overtoppingDllTest test
* OmkeerVariant; high discharge
* OmkeerVariant; expected dikeheight in profile
* OmkeerVariant; with berm
* OmkeerVariant; with berm and dikeheight just above berm
* OmkeerVariant; with berm and expected dikeheight just above berm
* OmkeerVariant; with 1:15 berm and expected dikeheight halfway berm
* OmkeerVariant; with a very small discharge
* OmkeerVariant; with water level below toe
* OmkeerVariant; with expected dikeheight halfway last slope segment
* OmkeerVariant; ISSUE; test A related to issue 34
* OmkeerVariant; ISSUE; test B related to issue 34
* OmkeerVariant; ISSUE; test C related to issue 34
* OmkeerVariant; ISSUE; test A related to issue 35
* OmkeerVariant; ISSUE; test B related to issue 35
* OmkeerVariant; ISSUE; test C related to issue 35
* OmkeerVariant; ISSUE; test A related to issue 36: wl at toe; 1:4; long dike
* OmkeerVariant; ISSUE; test B related to issue 36: wl at toe; 1:4; short dike
* OmkeerVariant; ISSUE; test A related to issue 42: berm at waterlevel
* OmkeerVariant; ISSUE; test B related to issue 42: resulting dikeheight at end of berm

## Java/FEWS interface tests

The 'Java/FEWS interface' test cluster consists of basic I/O tests for the data structure to be applied in case of calling the dll from a Java environment (like FEWS). It contains the following tests:

* Java/FEWS interface; Test validation (A)
  + test validation of input (geometry not correct)
  + test validation of input (modelfactor m\_z2 < 0)
  + test validation of input (modelfactor foreshore < 0.3)
* Java/FEWS interface; Test validation (B)
* Java/FEWS interface; Test CalculateQoJ
  + test actual computations in calculateQo for waterlevel < dikeheight
  + test actual computations in calculateQo for waterlevel > dikeheight (overflow)
  + test actual computations in calculateQo for waterlevel < dikeheight, without waves
* Java/FEWS interface; Test omkeerVariantJ

## Unit tests

The unit test cluster consists of tests on specific low level kernel functions. At present it contains the following tests:

* Unit tests; Test CalculateGammaF (tiny waves)
* Unit tests; Test CalculateGammaF (normal waves)

# Recommendations

The test series described in the earlier chapters is quite extensive and is very useful for testing the wave overtopping kernel. However, there are also still some opportunities for further improvement. These are pointed out below.

The present test procedure almost entirely consists of integration tests. It is recommended to add more unit tests.

It is recommended to extend the test environment with a tool to determine the code coverage.

It is recommended to redesign the i/o definition and code of the trend tests, since the present procedure shows the following drawbacks:

* The input of the test series is partly defined in ascii files and partly hard-coded in the test program, whereas there is some interdependency.
* The current numbering of series and corresponding naming of output files show variation over the cross sections, see Appendix A.
* The parameters and layout of the current output files show variation over the varied parameters.
* The code of the test program is quite extensive.

It is recommended to further analyse the remaining disagreements between reference trend results and expected trend results, in order to assess whether the disagreement is caused by an error in the design or an error in the implementation.

# References

Waal, J.P. de, 2015. Wave overtopping at dikes kernel. Functional design. Deltares report 1220043-002, september 2015.

###### Overview of Trend test series

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| cross section | | | | | | | | Angle | Variation | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | Load | water level |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 85 | water level |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | wave height |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 85 | wave height |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | wave steepness |
| 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 85 | wave steepness |
| 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |  | wave angle |
|  |  |  | 8berm |  |  |  |  | 0 | Geometry | slope of all berm segments as a berm |
|  |  |  | 9berm |  |  |  |  | 85 | slope of all berm segments as a berm |
|  |  |  |  | 8berm |  |  |  | 0 | slope first (lower) berm segment as a berm |
|  |  |  |  | 9berm |  |  |  | 85 | slope first (lower) berm segment as a berm |
|  |  |  |  |  | 8berm |  |  | 0 | slope second (higher) berm segment as a berm |
|  |  |  |  |  | 9berm |  |  | 85 | slope second (higher) berm segment as a berm |
|  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  | 0 | slope of all berm segments as a slope |
|  |  |  | 9 |  |  |  |  | 85 | slope of all berm segments as a slope |
|  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  | 0 | slope first (lower) berm segment as a slope |
|  |  |  |  | 9 |  |  |  | 85 | slope first (lower) berm segment as a slope |
|  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  | 0 | slope second (higher) berm segment as a slope |
|  |  |  |  |  | 9 |  |  | 85 | slope second (higher) berm segment as a slope |
| 8 |  |  | 10 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 0 | slope of all ordinary segments |
| 9 |  |  | 11 | 11 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 85 | slope of all ordinary segments |
|  | 8 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | slope lower segment |
|  | 9 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  | 85 | slope lower segment |
|  | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | slope upper segment |
|  | 11 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  | 85 | slope upper segment |
|  | 12 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | level buckling point |
|  | 13 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  | 85 | level buckling point |
|  |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  | 0 | berm width |
|  |  |  | 13 |  |  |  |  | 85 | berm width |
|  |  |  | 14 |  |  | 10 | 10 | 0 | Roughness | roughness of all berm segments |
|  |  |  | 15 |  |  | 11 | 11 | 85 | roughness of all berm segments |
| 10 | 14 | 14 | 16 |  |  | 12 | 12 | 0 | roughness of all ordinary segments |
| 11 | 15 | 15 | 17 |  |  | 13 | 13 | 85 | roughness of all ordinary segments |
|  | 16 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | roughness lower segment |
|  | 17 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  | 85 | roughness lower segment |
|  | 18 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | roughness upper segment |
|  | 19 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  | 85 | roughness upper segment |
|  |  |  |  | 12 | 12 |  |  | 0 | roughness of segment 2 |
|  |  |  |  | 13 | 13 |  |  | 85 | roughness of segment 2 |
|  |  |  |  | 14 | 14 |  |  | 0 | roughness of segment 3 |
|  |  |  |  | 15 | 15 |  |  | 85 | roughness of segment 3 |
|  |  |  |  | 16 | 16 |  |  | 0 | roughness of segments 1 and 3 |
|  |  |  |  | 17 | 17 |  |  | 85 | roughness of segments 1 and 3 |
|  |  |  |  | 18 | 18 |  |  | 0 | roughness of segments 2 and 4 |
|  |  |  |  | 19 | 19 |  |  | 85 | roughness of segments 2 and 4 |

This table gives an overview of the test series on trends.

The final column presents the feature that is varied in the test series. Most of these features appear twice in the table, since in most cases the series is computed for two values of the wave angle (column 9), yielding a different test series.

The first 8 columns refer to the cross section numbers. The blue figures in the first 8 columns refer to the test series numbers per cross section.

The varied feature in test series numbers 1 to 7 is identical for each column (i.e. cross section) as pointed out in section 3.2.1. The varied feature in test series numbers 8 and up is described in the eight subsections of section 3.3. These latter test series numbers are not consistently related to a specific varied feature, which hampers the analysis.

The names of the output files of the test procedure are composed as follows:

"output\_section" <CrossSectionNumber> "\_test" <TestSeriesNumber> ".txt"

Examples of output filenames are:

output\_section2\_test01.txt

output\_section4\_test09berm.txt

output\_section8\_test12.txt